# United States District Courts of FOR THE FOR THE PAGE OF CALIFORNIA **VENUE: SAN FRANCISCO**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

٧.

ISSUE SUMMONS DONALD DANIELS: MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN TAPANI KOIVUNEN. IRINA REBEGENEAU, and SERGEI VLADIMIROVICH SHKURKIN

DEFENDANT(S).

#### SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

18 U.S.C. § 1343 - Wire Fraud

18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)-Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2-Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting

18 U.S.C. § 894-Conspiracy to Collect Extensions of Credit by Extortionate Means

18 U.S.C. §§ 894 and 2-Use of Extortionate Means to Collect Extensions of Credit

Foreman
day of
<u>09</u>
BRENDA TOLBERT Clerk
Bail, \$

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. PIŞTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED X SUPERSEDIN	G NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Petty	SAN FRÂNCISCO DIVISION
See attached Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
Misde	34,000
□ mean	V = 51111125
PENALTY:	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
See attached	CR 09-00862 MHP
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	1) If not detained give date any prior
FBI, Ken Bagchi	- Summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) 🔀 Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	Northern District of California
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4)  On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	
charges previously dismissed SHOW which were dismissed on motion	5) On another conviction Federal State
of:  DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	Has detainer Yes 1 If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE	give date
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	ARREST 7
	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not  DATE TRANSFERRED  Month/Day/Year
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Christine Wong/Peter Axelro	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted d
ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
PROCESS:  ☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☐ WARRANT	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following:	
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	Detections: 40/40/2000 at 0.20 and Before langue Elizabeth D. Langue
	Date/Time: 10/19/2009 at 9:30 a.m Before Judge: Elizabeth D. Laporte
Comments:	

Count One: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years
 Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Five: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years
 Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Six: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years
 Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Seven: 18 U.S.C. §1956(h) - Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Eight: 18 U.S.C. §§1956(a)(2)(A) and 2 - Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Nine: 18 U.S.C. §§1956(a)(2)(A) and 2 - Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting

1. Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years

#### Case3:09-cr-00862-MHP Document25 Filed10/01/09 Page4 of 26

2. Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Ten: 18 U.S.C. § 894-Conspiracy to Collect Extensions of Credit by Extortionate Means

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Eleven: 18 U.S.C. §§ 894 and 2–Use of Extortionate Means to Collect Extensions of Credit

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	D A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and A. Judge/Megistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	
Petty	SAN FALINGISCO DIVISION
□ Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
See attached Minor	c. c.c
□ mean	**************************************
▼ X Felon	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY:	CR 09-00862 MHP
See attached	
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior
FBI, Ken Bagchi	summons was served on above charges n/a n/a
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) 🔀 Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	Northern District of California
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IO IN CHOTODY
	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	4) On this charge
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion SHOW	5) ☐ On another conviction } ☐ Federal ☐ State
of:  DOCKET NO.	
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	Has detainer Yes 1 If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE	Has detainer Lines give date
CASE NO.	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person  Furnishing Information on this form  JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
Furnishing Information on this form JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO  IN U.S. Attorney Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S.	★ This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) Christine Wong/Peter Axelro	<u>d</u>
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Arraignment Initial Appearance	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	Date/Time: 10/19/2009 at 9:30 a.m. Before Judge: Elizabeth D. Laporte
0	<u></u>
Comments:	

Count One: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

1. Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years

2. Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Two: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

1. Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years

2. Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Three: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years
 Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Four: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years
 Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Seven: 18 U.S.C. §1956(h) - Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Eight: 18 U.S.C. §§1956(a)(2)(A) and 2 - Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting

1. Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years

#### Case3:09-cr-00862-MHP Document25 Filed10/01/09 Page7 of 26

2. Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Nine: 18 U.S.C. §§1956(a)(2)(A) and 2 – Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Ten: 18 U.S.C. § 894-Conspiracy to Collect Extensions of Credit by Extortionate Means

1. Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years

2. Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Eleven: 18 U.S.C. §§ 894 and 2–Use of Extortionate Means to Collect Extensions of Credit

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

DEFENDANT INCODMATION BELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION. IN ILE DIOTRICT COURT
	DA CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or/100se/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	
Petty	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION J.
See attached Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
Misde	1 1 1 1 74.7
mean	7 Marianonen
PENALTY:	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
See attached	CR 09-00862 MHP
see attached	
PROCEEDING ————	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior
FBI, Ken Bagchi	summons was served on above charges n/a
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	.     2)
☐ give name of court	
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) 🔀 On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	, , <u>M</u> c and onling
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion SHOW	5) On another conviction
of:  DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
<u> </u>	
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRATE  CASE NO.	been filed? No filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	ARREST 7
	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not  DATE TRANSFERRED  Month/Day/Year
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form  JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	TO U.S. CUSTODY
✓ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) Christine Wong/Peter Axelro	
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS —
SUMMONS	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Arraignment Initial Appearance	where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons of warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	
	Date/Time: 10/19/2009 at 9:30 a.m Before Judge: Elizabeth D. Laporte
Comments:	

Count One: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years
 Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Seven: 18 U.S.C. §1956(h) - Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Eight: 18 U.S.C. §§1956(a)(2)(A) and 2 - Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Nine: 18 U.S.C. §§1956(a)(2)(A) and 2 – Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DESTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	
X SUBERSEDIN	Name of District Court, and Oktation Magistrate Location  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNA
OFFENSE CHARGED	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
Petty	Sty
See attached Minor	DEFENDANT TOO
Misde mean	
X Felon	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY:	CR 09-00862 MHP
See attached	Cives cooks with
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)  FBI, Ken Bagchi	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2)  Is a Fugitive
	3) 🔀 Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	Northern District of California
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IO IN OURTORY
	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	Has detainer Yes   If "Yes" give date filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	TO U.S. CUSTODY
□ U.S. Attorney □ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned)  Christine Wong/Peter Axelroc	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFO	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Deleticant Address.	Date/Time: 10/19/2009 at 9:30 a.m. Before Judge: Elizabeth D. Laporte
Comments:	

Count One: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years
 Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

3. Supervised release: 5 years4. Special assessment: \$100

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE	TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION X INDICTMEN	T Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistraté Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSED	<del></del>   72.77.97\
☐ Pe	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 3.
See attached Mi	1/35×1/2
See attached	sde-
☐ me	anor SERGEI VLADIMIROVICH SHKURKIN
<b>★</b> ⊠ Fe	ony DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY:	CR 09-00862 MHP
See attached	
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior
FBI, Ken Bagchi	summons was served on above charges n/a
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2) 🔲 Is a Fugitive
	3) 🔀 Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	Northern District of California
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	
	IS IN CUSTODY  4)  On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRA CASE NO	).
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELL	O DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agen	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned)  Christine Wong/Peter Axe	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
	NFORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
PROCESS:	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following:	
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	Date/Time: 10/6/2009 at 9:30 a.m Before Judge: Elizabeth D. Laporte
Comments:	

Count One: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud

Imprisonment: Maximum 30 years
 Fine: Maximum \$1,000,000

Supervised release: 5 years
 Special assessment: \$100

Count Ten: 18 U.S.C. § 894-Conspiracy to Collect Extensions of Credit by Extortionate Means

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Count Eleven: 18 U.S.C. §§ 894 and 2–Use of Extortionate Means to Collect Extensions of Credit

Imprisonment: Maximum 20 years
 Fine: Maximum \$500,000

3. Supervised release: 3 years4. Special assessment: \$100

Pas 090c7 FILED EXERCIPARIO PM 3: 13 1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 13 No. CR 09-0862 MHP SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT 14 VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 15 DONALD DANIELS, MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN, 1349-Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1343–Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)–Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering; 18 U.S.C. §§ 16 TAPANI KOIVUNEN, IRINA REBEGENEAÚ, and 17 SERGEI VLADIMIROVICH SHKURKIN, 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2-Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting; 18 U.S.C. § 894—Conspiracy to Collect Extensions of 18 Defendants. Credit by Extortionate Means; 18 U.S.C. §§ 19 894 and 2-Collect Extensions of Credit by Extortionate Means; 18 U.S.C. § 20 981(a)(1)(C), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)—Forfeiture of Fraud Proceeds; 18 21 U.S.C. § 982(a)—Money Laundering Forfeiture 22 23 24 SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT The Grand Jury charges: 25 INTRODUCTION 26 At all times relevant to this Indictment: 27 The Overseas Private Investment Corporation ("OPIC") was a United States 28 1. SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT CR 09-0862 MHP 1

Case3:09-cr-00862-MHP Document25 Filed10/01/09 Page14 o

14

13

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

governmental agency, located in Washington, D.C., whose mission was to encourage U.S.-based companies to invest in overseas business projects. To do so, OPIC provided, among other things, loans to small businesses for investments in overseas projects. To qualify for a small business loan, the U.S. business, also called the "U.S. Sponsor," had to own at least 25% of the overseas project. To apply for a small business loan, the borrower had to submit an application form, including a detailed business plan and cash flow projections, and each sponsor of the borrower had to complete and submit a Sponsor Disclosure Report.

- 2. Golden Sierra Partners, LLC ("GSP"), was a company established under the laws of the State of Nevada. According to corporate records, the company was 50.46% owned by FoodPro International, Inc. ("FoodPro"), 35.78% owned by AS Vahenurme Agro ("ASV"), a company established in Estonia, and 13.76% owned by Golden Sierra Management, LLC ("GSM"). FoodPro's offices were in Stockton, California, and San Jose, California.
- 3. According to submissions made to OPIC, GSP's purpose was to establish a stateof-the-art milling and bakery operation in Estonia. GSP was to be capitalized by approximately \$16.5 million. \$8.9 million of the capital would be provided by a small business loan from OPIC to GSP. The remaining \$7.6 million would be equity investment contributions from FoodPro, ASV, and GSM (collectively, "GSP's Members"). For the purposes of the OPIC loan, FoodPro was the U.S. sponsor of GSP.

#### The Defendants

- 4. MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN was the founder and president of FoodPro and the corporate secretary of GSP. IRINA REBEGENEAU was a project manager/engineer at FoodPro.
- 5. TAPANI KOIVUNEN was the chief executive officer ("CEO") of GSM and chairman and CEO of GSP.
- 6. DONALD DANIELS was managing member of the Eagle Jack Group and authorized agent of Treston Enterprises.
- SERGEI VLADIMIROVICH SHKURKIN was a member of Sergei Shkurkin and 7. Associates, LLC.

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT CR 09-0862 MHP

2

COUNT ONE:

[18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud]

#### The Conspiracy

8. Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury, but no later than March 2003, and continuing up through and including May 2005, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

DONALD DANIELS,
MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN,
TAPANI KOIVUNEN,
IRINA REBEGENEAU, and
SERGEI VLADIMIROVICH SHKURKIN,

and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly conspire to commit offenses against the United States, to wit: (1) mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 and (2) wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

#### Objects of the Conspiracy

#### Mail Fraud

9. It was a part and object of the conspiracy that DANIELS, WASHBURN, KOIVUNEN, REBEGENEAU and SHKURKIN, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, having devised and intending to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, did cause to be delivered matters and things by mail and private or commercial interstate carrier for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

#### Wire Fraud

10. It was also a part and object of the conspiracy that DANIELS, WASHBURN, KOIVUNEN, REBEGENEAU, and SHKURKIN, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, having devised and intending to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, did transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CR 09-0862 MHP

## communications in interstate and foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

#### The Scheme to Defraud

- 11. Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury, but no later than March 2003, and continuing up through and including May 2005, DANIELS, WASHBURN, KOIVUNEN, REBEGENEAU, and SHKURKIN, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury. orchestrated a fraudulent scheme to defraud OPIC and to obtain a loan of approximately \$9.4 million from OPIC to fund GSP.
- 12. To obtain the loan from OPIC, the defendants submitted to OPIC documents with materially false and fraudulent representations, including, among others: (1) that GSP's Members would provide GSP with approximately \$7.6 million in equity, including approximately \$3.8 million in cash from FoodPro and approximately \$700,000 in cash from ASV; (2) that the cost estimates for equipment set forth in the financial plan would be accurate; and (3) that FoodPro had no related companies. In so doing, the defendants made the following material omissions, among others: (1) what was represented to be cash equity in GSP was actually a loan from DANIELS; (2) the amount spent by GSP on equipment would be far less than what was reported; and (3) the purchase of equipment for GSP would not be arms-length transactions between unrelated parties.
- 13. In addition to the material misrepresentations and omissions set forth in paragraph 12, the defendants also made the following material misrepresentations and omissions, among others, to obtain the loan disbursements from OPIC: they (1) provided to OPIC falsified invoices that contained inflated equipment prices and that concealed the close relationship of the companies involved in the underlying transactions; (2) withheld bank statements from OPIC that would have demonstrated that the cash equity was immediately returned to DANIELS; (3) made false assurances to OPIC regarding the progress of the project; and (4) affirmed and reaffirmed the accuracy of the completeness and truthfulness of their disclosures to OPIC.
- OPIC made loan disbursements to GSP by wire transfers of money, which were 14. SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT 4

	11
1	proce
2	
3	
4	WAS
5	follov
6	
7	for a
8	
9	Califo
10	
11	descri
12	
13	SHK
14	cash e
15	
16	Califo
17	agree
18	
19	agree
20	
21	Octob
22	\$3.8 r
23	Califo
24	Califo
25	
26	mail f
27	Appli
28	
	SUPER

essed through San Francisco, California, to GSP's bank account in San Jose, California.

#### Overt Acts

- 15. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect its illegal objects, DANIELS, WASHBURN, KOIVUNEN, REBEGENEAU, and SHKURKIN, the defendants, committed the following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:
- (a) On or about March 14, 2003, WASHBURN sent to OPIC an application for a loan from OPIC to GSP.
- (b) On or about May 2, 2003, WASHBURN sent by facsimile from San Jose, California to OPIC, a letter of intent from DANIELS to invest up to \$3.8 million in GSP.
- (c) On or about May 2, 2003, KOIVUNEN sent by electronic mail to OPIC a description of the proposed equity from GSP's Members.
- (d) In or about June 2003, DANIELS, WASHBURN, KOIVUNEN and SHKURKIN attended a meeting in Stockton, California, and discussed, among other things, the cash equity contribution to and the budget for GSP.
- (e) On or about June 13, 2003, WASHBURN sent by facsimile from San Jose, California, to OPIC, with copies to KOIVUNEN, DANIELS and SHKURKIN, a retainer letter agreement.
- (f) On or about September 25, 2003, OPIC and GSP entered into a loan agreement by which GSP would receive approximately \$9.4 million.
- (g) From on or about September 22, 2003, to and including on or about October 29, 2003, DANIELS transferred, or caused to be transferred, a total of approximately \$3.8 million by five wire transfers from an account held at Charles Schwab in San Francisco, California (the "Schwab Account"), to GSP's bank account held at Wells Fargo in San Jose, California (the "Golden Sierra Account"), representing FoodPro's cash equity interest in GSP.
- (h) On or about October 20, 2003, REBEGENEAU sent by facsimile and by mail from Stockton, California, to OPIC documents for Golden Sierra's First Disbursement Application, signed by WASHBURN.
  - (i) On or about November 3, 2003, and on or about November 11, 2003,

#### **COUNTS TWO THROUGH FOUR:**

[18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud]

- 16. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 17. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, defendant

#### MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN,

having devised and intending to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire, radio and television communication in interstate and foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures and sounds, to wit, WASHBURN caused the communications set forth below to be transferred by wire from Stockton, California, to the Overseas Private Investment Corporation ("OPIC"), located in Washington, D.C., for the purpose of executing the scheme to defraud and fraudulently obtaining a loan from OPIC set forth above:

COUNT	DATES	SUBSTANCE OF WIRE COMMUNICATION
TWO	September 2, 2004	Facsimile of progress report to OPIC
THREE	September 3, 2004	Facsimile of second disbursement request to OPIC
FOUR	February 28, 2005	E-mail to OPIC regarding OPIC's tour of facilities in Estonia

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

#### COUNTS FIVE AND SIX:

[18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud]

- 18. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, defendant

#### DONALD DANIELS,

having devised and intending to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

CR 09-0862 MHP

money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire, radio and television communication in interstate and foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures and sounds, to wit, DANIELS caused money to be transferred by wire from San Francisco, California, to a bank account located outside the United States, as set forth below, for the purpose of executing the scheme to defraud and fraudulently obtaining a loan from OPIC, as set forth above:

COUNT	APPROXIMATE DATES	SUBSTANCE OF WIRE TRANSFER
FIVE	November 3, 2003	Wire transfer of approximately \$300,000 from San Francisco, California, to Estonia.
SIX	November 11, 2003	Wire transfer of approximately \$400,000 from San Francisco, California, to Estonia.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

[18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)—Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering] COUNT SEVEN:

- 20. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 15 of this Indictment are realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- Beginning at a time unknown, but no later than in or about June 2003, and 21. continuing to and including in or about December 2003, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants

#### DONALD DANIELS, MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN, and TAPANI KOIVUNEN.

and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to transport, transmit, and transfer funds from a place in the United States to a place outside the United States with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity (namely, mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, and wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343), in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A), to wit, DANIELS, WASHBURN, and KOIVUNEN conspired to

//

//

 $\parallel$ 

 $\parallel$ 

 $\parallel$ 

have money transferred by wire from an account located in San Francisco, California, to a bank account located in Estonia, for the purpose of executing the scheme to defraud and fraudulently obtaining a loan from the Overseas Private Investment Corporation, as set forth above.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

COUNTS EIGHT AND NINE: [18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2–Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting]

- 22. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 15 of this Indictment are realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Beginning at a time unknown, but no later than in or about June 2003, and continuing to and including in or about December 2003, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, defendants

#### DONALD DANIELS, MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN, and TAPANI KOIVUNEN,

and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally transport, transmit, and transfer funds from a place in the United States to a place outside the United States with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity (namely, mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, and wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343), to wit, DANIELS, WASHBURN, and KOIVUNEN caused money to be transferred by wire from an account located in San Francisco, California to a bank account located in Estonia, for the purpose of executing the scheme to defraud and fraudulently obtaining a loan from the Overseas Private Investment Corporation, as set forth above, and did aid and abet such conduct, as set forth below:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

1

COUNT	APPROXIMATE DATES	SUBSTANCE OF TRANSFER
EIGHT	November 3, 2003	Wire transfer of approximately \$300,000 from San Francisco, California, to Estonia.
NINE	November 11, 2003	Wire transfer of approximately \$400,000 from San Francisco, California, to Estonia.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2.

COUNT TEN:

[18 U.S.C. § 894—Conspiracy to Collect Extensions of Credit by Extortionate Means]

- 24. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. From in or about October 2004, to and including on or about November 15, 2004, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants

#### DONALD DANIELS, MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN, and SERGEI VLADIMIROVICH SHKURKIN,

and others known and unknown, did knowingly conspire to participate in the use of extortionate means to collect, and attempt to collect an extension of credit, as these terms are defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 891, and to punish persons for the nonrepayment thereof, to wit, DANIELS, WASHBURN, and SHKURKIN agreed to threaten the use of violence and other criminal means to collect at least approximately \$600,000 from persons to whom DANIELS had made a loan, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 894.

COUNT ELEVEN: [18 U.S.C. §§ 894 and 2–Use of Extortionate Means to Collect Extensions of Credit]

- 26. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. From in or about October 2004, to and including on or about November 15, 2004, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants

#### DONALD DANIELS,

# MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN, and SERGEI VLADIMIROVICH SHKURKIN,

and others known and unknown, unlawfully, willfully and knowingly did participate in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect an extension of credit, as these terms are defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 891, and to punish persons for the nonrepayment thereof, to wit, DANIELS, WASHBURN and SHKURKIN threatened to use violence and other criminal means to collect at least approximately \$600,000 from persons to whom DANIELS had made a loan, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894 and 2.

#### FIRST FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: [18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)]

- 28. The allegations contained in Counts One through Six and Ten through Eleven of this Indictment are alleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Upon a conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Six and Ten through Eleven of this Indictment, the defendants

# DONALD DANIELS, MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN, TAPANI KOIVUNEN, IRINA REBEGENEAU, and SERGEI VLADIMIROVICH SHKURKIN,

shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to those offenses.

- 30. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants, any of said property:
  - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
  - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

the defendant shall forfeit any and all interest that the defendant has in other property, not to

CR 09-0862 MHP

exceed the	م میراوید	f the property subject to forfaiture under this provision assessed to Title 21
		f the property subject to forfeiture under this provision, pursuant to Title 21,
J	es Code	e, Section 853(p), as incorporated in Title 28, United States Code, Section
2461(c).		
SECOND I	FORFE:	ITURE ALLEGATION: [18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1)]
31.	The	allegations contained in Counts Seven through Nine of this Indictment are
alleged as t	hough f	fully set forth herein.
32.	Upo	on a conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts Seven through Nine of
this Indictn	nent, the	e defendants
		DONALD DANIELS, MARTIN WILLIAM WASHBURN, and TAPANI KOIVUNEN,
shall forfeit	to the	United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), any
property, re	al or pe	ersonal, involved in such offense, and any property traceable to such property.
33.	If, a	s a result of any act or omission of the defendants, any of said property:
	a.	cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
	b.	has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
	c.	has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
	d.	has been substantially diminished in value; or
	e.	has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without
		difficulty,
the defenda	nts shal	Il forfeit any and all interest that the defendants have in other property, not to
exceed the	value of	f the property subject to forfeiture under this provision, pursuant to Title 21,
"		
\\		
\\		
SUPERSEDI	NG INDI	CTMENT

1	United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section
2	982(b)(1).
3	762( <i>0</i> )(1).
4	DATED: A TRUE BILL
5	October 1,2009
6	Junda Genjamin FOREPERSON
7	FOREPERSON
8	IOGERILE PLICCONIELLO
9	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney
10	
12	BRIAN J. STRETCH
13	Chief, Criminal Division
14	
15	(Approved as to form:  AUSA Peter B. Axelrod
	AUSA Peter q. Axerod AUSA Christine Y. Wong Trial Attorney Krista Tongring
16	That Automey Krista Tongring
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	

27

28